



Scottish Information
Commissioner
www.foi.scot

Decision Notice 035/2025

Planning – failure to respond

Applicant: The Applicant

Authority: Falkirk Council

Case Ref: 202401661

Summary

The Applicant asked the Authority for various pieces of information relating to a housing development. This decision finds that the Authority failed to respond to the request within the timescale allowed by the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 (FOISA) and the Environmental Information (Scotland) Regulations 2004 (the EIRs). The decision also finds that the Authority failed to comply with the Applicant's requirement for review within the timescale set down by FOISA and the EIRs.

Background

1. The Applicant made an information request to the Authority on 27 September 2024.
2. The Authority did not respond to the Applicant's information request.
3. On 23 October 2024, the Applicant wrote to the Authority asking that it provide the requested information to him within the next few days.
4. Having not received any response, the Applicant wrote to the Authority on 11 November 2024, referring to his request of 27 September 2024 and requesting that the Authority review its failure to respond.
5. A partial response was sent to the Applicant on 11 November 2024 (shortly after he submitted his requirement for review).
6. On 14 November 2024, the Authority wrote to the Applicant, referring to his requirement for review of 11 November 2024, and seeking clarification of the request and response he wanted a review of.
7. The Applicant responded to this request for clarification on 18 November 2024, sending the email to the same person and address in the Authority as he received the request for clarification from, acknowledging that his request for review email had been followed shortly after by the Authority's

emails to him providing some of the information he had requested. The Applicant outlined to the Authority what he required a review of.

8. The Applicant did not receive a response to his requirement for review.
9. The Applicant wrote to the Commissioner, stating that he was dissatisfied with the Authority's failure to respond and applying to the Commissioner for a decision in terms of section 47(1) of FOISA. The enforcement provisions of FOISA apply to the enforcement of the EIRs, subject to specified modifications – see regulation 17.
10. The Commissioner determined that the application complied with section 47(2) of FOISA and that he had the power to carry out an investigation.

Investigation

11. Section 49(3)(a) of FOISA requires the Commissioner to notify public authorities of an application and to give them an opportunity to comment. The Commissioner did this on 27 January 2025.
12. The Authority did not provide the Commissioner with any submissions.
13. It is apparent from the terms of the request that at least some of the information caught by it will be environmental information as defined by regulation 2(1) of the EIRs. In [Decision 218/2007 Transport Scotland](#)¹, the Commissioner confirmed at paragraph 51 that where environmental information is concerned, there are two separate statutory frameworks for access to that information and, in terms of the legislation, an authority is required to consider the request under both FOISA and EIRs.
14. Section 10(1) of FOISA gives Scottish public authorities a maximum of 20 working days following the date of receipt of the request to comply with a request for information. This is subject to qualifications which are not relevant in this case. The same timescale is laid down by regulation 5(2)(a) of the EIRs.
15. It is a matter of fact that the Authority did not provide a response to the Applicant's request for information within 20 working days, so the Commissioner finds that it failed to comply with section 10(1) of FOISA and regulation 5(2)(a) of the EIRs.
16. Section 21(1) of FOISA gives Scottish public authorities a maximum of 20 working days following the date of receipt of the requirement to comply with a requirement for review. Again, this is subject to qualifications which are not relevant in this case. The same timescale is laid down by regulation 16(4) of the EIRs.
17. It is a matter of fact that the Authority did not provide a response to the Applicant's requirement for review within 20 working days, so the Commissioner finds that it failed to comply with section 21(1) of FOISA and regulation 16(4) of the EIRs.
18. The remainder of section 21 and regulation 16 sets out the requirements to be followed by a Scottish public authority in carrying out a review. As no review has been carried out in this case, the Commissioner finds that the Authority failed to discharge these requirements: he now requires a review to be carried out in accordance with section 21 and regulation 16.
19. The Commissioner recommends that the Authority considers whether it would be appropriate to apologise to the Applicant for its failure to comply.

¹ <https://www.foi.scot/decision-2182007>

Decision

The Commissioner finds that the Authority failed to comply with Part 1 of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 (FOISA) and with the Environmental Information (Scotland) Regulations 2004 (the EIRs) in dealing with the information request made by the Applicant. In particular, the Authority failed to respond to the Applicant's full request for information on time and failed to carry out a review within the timescales laid down by sections 10(1) and 21(1) of FOISA and regulations 5(2) and 16(4) of the EIRs. The Commissioner requires the Authority to respond to the Applicant's requirement for review, by **31 March 2025**.

Appeal

Should either the Applicant or the Authority wish to appeal against this decision, they have the right to appeal to the Court of Session on a point of law only. Any such appeal must be made within 42 days after the date of intimation of this decision.

Enforcement

If the Authority fails to comply with this decision, the Commissioner has the right to certify to the Court of Session that the Authority has failed to comply. The Court has the right to inquire into the matter and may deal with the Authority as if it had committed a contempt of court.

Jill Walker
Deputy Head of Enforcement

12 February 2025